

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/520,658	01/10/2005	Seiji Satou	Q85241	5608
23373 7:	590 10/10/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1725	
			DATE MAIL ED. 10/10/2004	,

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Anti-u Comment	10/520,658	SATOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Geoffrey S. Evans	1725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) 15-29 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 15-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10 January 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050110, 20050321					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,658

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Figures 2-4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 2. Claims 25 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 25 on line 2 and in claim 29 on line 2 "pulse-with" should be "pulse-width". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claims 15-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 15 the relationship between "a switching element" on line 9 and "a switching element" on line 12 is unclear. In claim 16 on line 3 the relationship, if any, between "a first switching circuit" and the switching element recited on claim 15, line 9 ("a first switching circuit") is unclear. Similarly in claim 16 on line 7 the relationship, if any, between "a second switching circuit" and the switching element recited on claim 15, line 12 ("a second switching circuit") is unclear. In claim 17 there is no antecedent basis for "the opposed switching elements". In claim 19 on line 4 the relationship, if any, between "a first switching circuit" and the switching element

Application/Control Number: 10/520,658

Art Unit: 1725

recited on claim 15, line 9 ("a first switching circuit") is unclear. Similarly in claim 19 on line 7 the relationship, if any, between "a second switching circuit" and the switching element recited on claim 15, line 12 ("a second switching circuit") is unclear. In claim 21 on line 3 and in claim 25 on line 3 the meaning of "from outside" is unclear. In claim 22 on line 12 it is unclear what receives the control signal pulse in parallel? In claim 22 the relationship between "a switching element" on line 9 and "a switching element" on line 11 is unclear. Respectfully suggest using "a first switching element" and "a second switching element" to obviate this rejection. In claim 26 the relationship between "a switching element" on line 9 and "a switching element" on line 13 is unclear. Respectfully suggest using "a first switching element" and "a second switching element" to obviate this rejection. In claim 28 the relationship between "a switching element" on line 9 and "a switching element" on line 13 is unclear. Respectfully suggest using "a first switching element" on line 13 is unclear. Respectfully suggest using "a first switching element" and "a second switching element" to obviate this rejection.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 15-29 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nishizawa et al. in U.S. patent No. 5,021,936 discloses a pwm controller with integrated high and low speed semiconductor switching elements.

 Tanaka in Japan Patent No. 63-43,576 discloses a pulse width modulation inverter with high and low speed switch semiconductor elements. Okubo in Japan Patent No. 63-262,062 has an inverter with high and low speed switch semiconductor elements.

Application/Control Number: 10/520,658

Art Unit: 1725

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571)-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is as girlened (571)-273-8300.

GSE

Geof#ey S. Evans Primary Examiner Group 1700